

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/764,691	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOWLIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SATYENDRA K. SINGH	<b>Art Unit</b> 1657	

  

**All Participants:**  
 (1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH.  
 (2) BETH E. ARNOLD (ATTORNEY).  
**Date of Interview:** 23 February 2010

**Status of Application:** AFTER FAOM  
 (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_.  
**Time:** ABOUT 6 PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *see a copy of attached DRAFT EXAM. AMEND. faxed to applicant's attorney.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative (the attorney of record, Miss Beth E. Arnold; phone- 617-832-1230) was telephonically contacted by the examiner, and provided with a proposed Examiner's Amendment (by fax at 617-832-7000 sent on 2/23/2010; see copy of the DRAFT EXAM. AMEND. sent to applicants) to the pending claims (that were found to be allowable, and were approved on 2/24/2010 through an in house patentability conference with the Primary examiner, Sandy Saucier and SPE, Jon Weber) for applicant's considerations. Applicant's attorney of record called the examiner and confirmed that applicant's have accepted the proposed Examiner's Amendment to the claims.

/Satyendra K. Singh/  
Examiner, AU 1657